

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                   | FILING DATE             | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/207,748                        | 12/08/1998              | QI BI                | 16-5-23             | 7216             |
| 75                                | 90 06/04/2002           |                      |                     |                  |
| HARNESS,DICKEY& PIERCE            |                         |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| P.O. BOX 8910<br>RESTON, VA 20195 |                         |                      | TRAN, PHUC H        |                  |
|                                   |                         |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                                   |                         |                      | 2664                |                  |
|                                   | DATE MAILED: 06/04/2002 |                      |                     |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)                                       |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| •   | 09/207,748   | BI ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   | PHUC H TRAN  | 2664   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 /</u>   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 March 2002</u> . |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th  | is action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u> </u>  | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  OVE The energification is chicated to but the Everyines   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)☑ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>01 March 2002</u> is: a)☑ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | 5) Notice of Informal F  | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |  |
| C. Dotat and T  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 2664

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tsunchara et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6307844 B1).
- With respect to claims 1 & 3, Tsunchara teaches a method for using a common channel shared by mobile stations to transmit power control signals to the mobile stations, which is interpreted as the method for communicating power control information for communication channels, which comprises steps of: transmitting power control information for a first channel (e.g. block 111a in Fig. 7) within a portion of a first segment of a pilot channel (e.g. block 110a in Fig. 7, answer packets in Fig. 9) and transmitting power control information for a second channel (e.g. block 111b in Fig. 7) within a portion of a second segment of the pilot channel (e.g.

Art Unit: 2664

block 110a in Fig. 7, answer packets in Fig. 9, see col. 7, lines 29-39), wherein the first and second segment are one of a plurality of repeating segments (e.g. Fig. 7 shows the power control information for channels in portions 111 and Fig. 9 shows answer packet).

- With respect to claim 2, Tsunchara also teaches the step of alternating between the transmission of power control information for the first channel and the transmission of power control information for the second channel (e.g. Fig. 2 shows the channel 2 is alternating transmission power control information for channels 3).
- With respect to claim 4, Tsunchara fails to explicitly teach power control information for the first channel is transmitted more than once for each transmission of power control information for the second channel. It inherently knows that the times transmit the power control information for communication channels such as the design choice to communicate between the subscribers and base station are based on the priority of the subscribers and information.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsunchara et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6307844 B1) in view of Ghosh (U.S. Patent No. 5991285).
- With respect to claims 5-8, Tsunchara discloses all the aspects of the claimed invention as set forth above but fails to teach the channels are voice, data, and video channels. Ghosh

Page 3

Art Unit: 2664

teaches a system having plurality channels for voice, data and video transmission, each channel being designated with different power transmission levels (see bridge paragraph in col. 2-3).

being designated with different power transmission levels (see bridge paragraph in col. 2-3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement the controlling power for each channel types in wireless communication system to provide each channel with an appropriate amount of power needed for transmission, thereby saving power and reducing signal interference.

# Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

- It's noted in the Applicant's remarks indicated the control channels carrying the power control information are analogs to the pilot channels as claimed. The Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant. Since both pilot and control channels are carrying control information, such as transmission power control information they are considerably the same. Same Ground of Rejection is applied to Claims 1-4. Further details are added.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4

Art Unit: 2664

### Conclusion

Page 5

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHUC H TRAN whose telephone number is (703) 308-7471. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WELLINGTON CHIN can be reached on (703) 305-4366. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 872-9314.

Phuc Tran Assistant Examiner Art Unit 2664 P.t June 3, 2002